

Bibliográfia „Az agglomerációk intézményesítésének sajátos kérdései a közigazgatási struktúrán innen és túl” c. kutatáshoz

Particular Questions of Institutionalization of Agglomerations among and beyond Public Administration Structures

Összeállította:

Miátovics Csilla

Ache P. (2009) Cities in old industrial regions between local innovative milieu and urban governance - reflections on city region governance. – European Planning Studies. 8/6. 693-709. p.

Adam B. (2003) Spatial policies for metropolitan regions – identity, participation and integration. – European Planning Studies. 11/6. 739-747. p.

Adam B. (2006) Medium-sized cities in urban region – European Planning Studies. 14/4. 547-555. p.

Adolphson M. (2009) Estimating a polycentric urban structure. Case study: Urban changes in the Stockholm region 1991-2004. – Journal of Urban Planning & Development. 135/1. 19-30. p.

Agger A. – Larsen J. N. (2009): Exclusion in area-based urban policy programmes. – European Planning Studies. 17/7. 1085-1099. p.

Allen J – Cochrane A (2007) Beyond the territorial fix: Regional assemblages, politics and power – Regional Studies. 41/9. 1161-1175. p.

Anas A. – Pines D. (2008) Anti-sprawl policies in a system of congested cities – Regional Science and Urban Economics. 38/5. 408-423. p.

Andersen J. – Ploger J. (2007) The dualism of urban governance in Denmark. – European Planning Studies. 15/10. 1349-1367. p.

Andersen H. T. – Kempen R. (2000) Governing European cities: social fragmentation, social exclusion and urban governance. Ashgate. Aldershot

Antikainen J. (2005) The concept of functional urban area. Findings of the ESPON project 1.1.1 – Informationen zur Raumentwicklung. 7. 447-456.p.

Antonelli C (2003) Knowledge complementarity and fungibility: Implications for regional strategy. – Regional Studies. 37/6-7. 595-606. p.

Arbaci S. (2008) (Re)Viewing ethnic residential segregation in Southern European cities: Housing and urban regimes as mechanisms of marginalisation. – Housing Studies. 23/4. 589-613. p.

Armin A. – Thrift N. (2002) Cities : reimagining the urban. Polity Press. Oxford

Artis M. J. – Miguélez E. – Moreno R. (2009) Assessing agglomeration economies in a spatial framework with endogenous regressors. Centre for Economic Policy Research. London

Athey G. (et al.) Innovation and the city: How innovation has developed in five city-regions. NESTA. London

Atkinson R. – Rossignolo C. (2008) The re-creation of the European city: Governance, territory and policentricity. Techne Press. Amsterdam

Atkinson R. (2000) Combating social exclusion in Europe: The new urban policy challenge. – Urban Studies. 37/5-6. 1037-1055. p.

Atkinson R. (2000) Narratives of policy: The construction of urban problems and urban policy in the official discourse of British government 1968-1998. – Critical Social Policy. 20/2. 211-233. p.

Atkinson R. (2001) The emerging 'urban agenda' and the European spatial development perspective: Towards an EU urban policy? – European Planning Studies. 9/3. 385-406. p.

Atkinson R. (2002) The White Paper on European governance: Implications for urban policy. – European Planning Studies. 10/6. 781-792. p.

Bacolod M – Blum B. – Strange W. C. (2009) Urban interactions: Soft skills versus specialization – Journal of Economic Geography. 9/2. 227–262. p.

Bailey N. (2003) Local strategic partnerships in England: The continuing search for collaborative advantage, leadership and strategy in urban governance. – Planning Theory & Practice. 4/4. 443-458. p.

Bailly A. – Butler C. J. – Leontidou L. (1996) Changing cities: Restructuring, marginality and policies in urban Europe – European Urban and Regional Studies. 3/2. 161-176. p.

Baldwin R. (1998) Agglomeration and endogenous capital. National Bureau of Economic Research. Cambridge

- Baldwin R. – Martin P. (2003) Agglomeration and regional growth. Centre for Economic Policy Research. London
- Barlow I. M. (1991) Metropolitan government. Routledge. London
- Barrios S. – Bertinelli L. – Strobl E. (2006) Coagglomeration and spillovers. – *Regional Science and Urban Economics*. 26/4. 467–481. p.
- Beall J. (2001) Valuing social resources or capitalizing on them? Limits to pro-poor urban governance in nine cities of the South. – *International Planning Studies*. 6/4. 357-375. p.
- Behrens K. – Nicoud F. R. (2008) Survival of the fittest in cities: Agglomeration selection and polarization. Centre for Economic Policy Research. London
- Beluszky P. – Győri R. (2005) A slow response system: The urban network In. Barta Gy. - G. Fekete É - Kukorelli Szörényiné I. - Timár J. Hungarian spaces and places. MTA RKK. Pécs 378-396. p.
- Beluszky P. – Győri R. (2005) The Hungarian urban network in the beginning of the 20th century. MTA RKK. Pécs
- Beluszky P. (1999) The Hungarian urban network at the end of the second millennium. Discussion Papers. MTA RKK. Pécs
- Beluszky P. (2001) The Hungarian urban network : historical perspectives. In. Turnock D. (ed.) East Central Europe and the former Soviet Union: environment and society. Edward Arnold. London. 51-70. p.
- Bennett L. (1993) Harold Washington and the black urban regime. – *Urban Affairs Quarterly*. 28/3. 423-440. p.
- Benz A. – Fürst D (2002) Policy learning in regional networks. – *European Urban and Regional Studies*. 9/1. 21-35. p.
- Berg L.D. – Braun E. (2001) Growth clusters in European cities: An integral approach. – *Urban Studies*. 38/1. 185-205. p.
- Bergsman J. – Greenston, P. – Healy, R. (1972) The agglomeration process in urban growth. – *Urban Studies*. 9/3. 263-288. p.
- Bertrand N. – Kreibich V. (eds.) (2006) Europe's city-regions competitiveness: Growth regulation and Peri-Urban land management. Van Gorcum. Assen
- Bingham L. B. (2006) The new urban governance: Processes for engaging citizens and stakeholders. – *Review of Policy Research*. 23/4. 815-826. p.
- Bird R.M. – Slack E. (2007) An approach to metropolitan governance and finance. – *Environment and Planning. C: Government and Policy*. 25/5. 729-755. p.

Blanco I. – Subirats J. (2008) Social exclusion, area effects and metropolitan governance: A comparative analysis of five large Spanish cities. – *Urban Research & Practice*. 1/2. 130-148. p.

Blatter J. K. (2006) Geographic scale and functional scope in metropolitan governance reform: Theory and evidence from Germany. – *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 28/2. 121-150. p.

Blunkett D. (et al.) (2006) *Views of the city: Can city-regions find their place*. NLGN. London

Boddy M. (2002) *Integrative city-study of the Bristol city-region*. Economic and Social Research Council

Boddy M. (2003) *Urban transformation and urban governance: Shaping the competitive city of the future*. Policy Press. Bristol

Boddy, M. – Parkinson, M. (2004) *City matters: Competitiveness, cohesion and urban governance*. Polity Press. Bristol.

Bogason, P. (2000) *Public policy and local governance: Institutions in postmodern society*. Cheltenham. Northampton

Boland P. (2000) *Urban governance and economic development: A critique of Merseyside and Objective 1 Status*. – *European Urban & Regional Studies*. 7/3. 211-222. p.

Bontenbal M. C. (2009) *Strengthening urban governance in the South through city-to-city cooperation: Towards an analytical framework*. – *Habitat International*. 33/2. 181-189. p.

Bontje M. - Burdack J. (2005) *Economic poles in the European metropolitan periphery and sustainable development*. Leibniz-Institut für Länderkunde. Leipzig

Booth D. E. (1987) *Regional long waves and urban policy*. – *Urban Studies*, 24/6. 447-459. p.

Bovaird T. – Löffler E. - Parrado-Díez S. (2002) *Developing local governance networks in Europe (Local governance in Europe 1.)* Nomos. Baden-Baden

Braun E. – Van Der Berg L. (1997) *The organising capacity of metropolitan regions*. – *Environment and Planning, D: Society and Space*. 15/3. 253–272. p.

Breda-Vazquez I. – Conceicao P. – Moia P. (2010) *Learning from urban policy diversity and complexity: Evaluation and knowledge sharing in urban policy*. – *Planning Theory & Practice*. 11/2. 209-239. p.

Brenner N. (1999) *Globalisation as Reterritorialisation: The re-scaling of urban governance in the European Union*. – *Urban Studies*. 36/3. 431-451. p.

- Brenner N. (2002) Standortpolitik, state rescaling and the new metropolitan governance in Western Europe – DISP. 152. 15-25.p.
- Brouwer, E. Budil-Nadvornikova, H. Kleinknecht, A. (1999) Are urban agglomerations a better breeding place for product innovation ? An analysis of new product announcement. – Regional Studies. 33/6. 541–549. p.
- Brownill S. – Carpenter J. (2009) Governance and ‘integrated’ planning: The case of sustainable communities in the Thames Gateway, England. – Urban Studies. 46/2. 251-274. p.
- Brühlhart M. (2008) Agglomeration and growth: cross-country evidence. Centre for Economic Policy Research. London
- Bryant C (1995) The role of local actors in transforming the urban fringe. – Journal of Rural Studies. 11/3. 255–267. p.
- Caffyn A – Dahlström M. (2005) Urban-rural interdependencies: Joining up policy in practice. – Regional Studies. 39/3. 283-296. p.
- Cainelli G. – Iacobucci G. – Morganti E. (2006) Spatial agglomeration and business groups: New evidence from Italian industrial districts. – Regional Studies. 40/5. 507–518. p.
- Calthorpe P. – Fulton W. (2001) The regional city: Planning for the end of sprawl. Island Press. Washington, Covelo, London
- Candau F. (2008) Good governance, trade and agglomeration. – Papers in Regional Science. 87/4. 483-504. p.
- Capello R. (2000) The city network paradigm: measuring urban network externalities. – Urban Studies. 37/11. 1925–1945. p.
- Carlino G. A. (1987) Comparisons of agglomeration: Or what Chinitz really said: A reply. – Urban Studies, 24/1. 75-76. p.
- Carlsen F. (1994) Central regulation of local government borrowing: A game-theoretical approach. – Environment & Planning C: Government & Policy. 12/2. 213-224. p.
- Cars G. (ed.) (2002) Urban governance, institutional capacity and social milieux. Ashgate. Aldershot
- Cattan N. (ed.) (2007) Cities and networks in Europe: a critical approach of policentrism. John Libbey Eurotext. Montroque
- Cavallier G. (1998) Challenges for urban governance in the European Union. European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions
- Champion T. – Hugo G. (2004) New forms of urbanization: Beyond the urban-rural dichotomy. Ashgate. Aldershot

- Charlesworth J. – Cochrane A. (1994) Tales of the suburbs: the local politics of growth in the south-east of England. – *Urban Studies*. 10. 1723–1738. p.
- Cheshire P. C. (2006) Resurgent cities, urban myths and policy hubris: What we need to know. – *Urban Studies*. 43/8. 1231-1246. p.
- Chorianopoulos I. (2002) Commenting on the effectiveness and future challenges of the EU local authority networks. – *Regional Studies*. 36/8. 933-939. p.
- Cities for citizens: Improving metropolitan governance. OECD. Paris
- Cladera J. R. – Duarte C. M. – Moix M. (2009) Urban structure and polycentrism: Towards a redefinition of the sub-centre concept. – *Urban Studies*. 46/13. 2841-2868. p.
- Coaffee J. – Healey P. (2003) 'My voice: my place': tracking transformations in urban governance. – *Urban Studies*. 40/10. 1979-1999.
- Cobban T. (2003) Timothy Cobban's reply to Christopher Leo's comment "Are there urban regimes in Canada?" – *Canadian Journal of Urban Research*. 12/2. 349-352. p.
- Collin J-P. – Dagenais M. – Poitras C. (2003) From city to city-region: Historical perspective on the contentious definitions of the Montréal metropolitan area. – *Canadian Journal of Urban Research*. 12/1. 16-34. p.
- Colls R. – Rodger R. (2004) Cities of ideas: civil society and urban governance in Britain, 1800-2000: essays in honour of David Reeder. Ashgate. Aldershot
- Committee of the Regions (2002) Trans-European cooperation between territorial authorities: New challenges and future steps necessary to improve cooperation. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities. Luxembourg
- Cooke P. N. (2000) The virtual city: Urban networks as innovative environments. Economic and Social Research Council
- Coulson A. (ed.) (1994) Local government in Eastern Europe: establishing democracy at the grassroots. Aldershot. Hants
- Courtney P. – Mayfield L. – Tranter R. – Jones P. – Errington A. (2007) Small towns as 'sub-poles' in English rural development: Investigating rural-urban linkages using sub-regional social accounting matrices. – *Geoforum*. 38/6. 1219-1232. p.
- Coutard O. – Hanley R. – Zimmerman R. (eds.) (2005) Sustaining urban networks: The social diffusion of large technical systems. Routledge. London
- Cox K. R. (2010) The Problem of metropolitan governance and the politics of scale. – *Regional Studies*. 44/2. 215–227. p.
- Curry L. (2007) Spatial analysis of gravity flows. – *Regional Studies*. 41/51. 9-25. p.

- Davide M. – Sachetti S. (2008) Linking learning with governance in networks and clusters: key issues for analysis and policy. – *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*. 20/4. 387-408. p.
- Davies C. J. (1976) *Problems in urban management*. University of Birmingham
- Davies J. S. (2002) Urban regime theory: A normative-empirical critique. – *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 24/1. 1-17. p.
- Davies S. – Imbroscio D.L. (eds.) (2009) *Theories of urban politics*. Sage. London
- Davoudi S. (2003) European briefing: Polycentricity in European spatial planning: from an analytical tool to a normative agenda. – *European Planning Studies*. 11/8. 979-999. p.
- De Goei B. – Burger M. J. – Van Oort F. – Kitson M. (2010) Functional polycentrism and urban network development in the Greater South East, United Kingdom: Evidence from commuting patterns, 1981–2001. – *Regional Studies*. (Forthcoming issues)
- De Socio M. (2007) Business community structures and urban regimes: A comparative analysis. – *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 29/4. 339-366. p.
- De Socio M. (2010) Marginalization of sunset firms in regime coalitions: A social network analysis. – *Regional Studies*. 44/2. 167–182. p.
- Deakin M. (2003) Developing sustainable communities in Edinburgh's South East Wedge: The settlement model and design solution. – *Journal of Urban Design*. 8/2. 137-148. p.
- Deakin M. (2002) Modelling the development of sustainable communities in Edinburgh's South East Wedge. – *Planning Practice & Research*. 17/3. 331-336. p.
- Deakin M. – Allwinkle S. (2007) Urban regeneration and sustainable communities: The role of networks, innovation, and creativity in building successful partnerships. – *Journal of Urban Technology*. 14/1. 77-91. p.
- Deas I. – Giordano B. (2003) Regions, city-regions, identity and institution building: Contemporary experiences of the scalar turn in Italy and England. – *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 25/2. 225-246. p.
- Decoster G. – Strange W.C. (1993) Spurious agglomeration. – *Journal of Urban Economics*. 33/3. 273–304. p.
- Dej M. – Trzepacz R. (2008) Small towns in transport systems of metropolitan areas in Poland. – *European Spatial Research and Policy*. 15/1. 65–77. p.
- Delladetsima P. M. (2003) What prospects for urban policy in Europe? – *City*. 7/2. 153-165. p.

Di Giacinto V. (2008) Agglomeration within and between regions: two econometric based indicators. Banca d'Italia. Roma

DiGaetano A. –Klemanski J. (1993) Urban regimes in comparative perspective. – Urban Affairs Quarterly. 29/1. 54-83. p.

DiGaetano A. – Strom E. (2003) Comparative urban governance. – Urban Affairs Review. 38/3. 356-395. p.

Dike M. (2006) Two decades of French urban policy: From social development of neighbourhoods to the republican penal state. – Antipode. 38/1. 59-81. p.

Dikeç M. (2007) Space, governmentality, and the geographies of French urban policy. – European Urban & Regional Studies. 14/4. 277-289. p.

Doak J. – Parker G.(2005) Networked space ? The challenge of meaningful participation and the new spatial planning in England. – Planning Practice and Research. 20/1. 23-40. p.

Dollery B. E. – Robotti L. (eds.) (2008) The theory and practice of local government reform. Edward Elgar. Cheltenham

Dollery B. E. – Garcea J. – LeSage C. E. (2008) Local government reform: A comparative analysis of advanced Anglo-American countries. Edward Elgar. Cheltenham

Dommel P. R. (1975) Distributive politics and urban policy. – Policy Studies Journal. 3/4. 370-375. p.

Donnison D. (1983) Urban policy in the 1980s. – Regional Studies. 17/5. 295. p.

Dowding K. (2001) Explaining urban regimes. – International Journal of Urban and Regional Research. 25/1. 7-19. p.

Dowding K. – Dunleavy P. – King D. – Margetts H. – Rydin Y. (1999) Regime politics in London local government. – Urban Affairs Review. 34/4. 515-545. p.

Dromey J. – Filkin G. – Corrigan P. (1998) Modernising local government. Fabian Society. London

Dunn E. S. (1970) A flow network image of urban structure. – Urban Studies. 7/3. 239-258. p.

Duranton G. – Storper M. (2006) Agglomeration and growth: a dialogue between economists and geographers. – Journal of Economic Geography. 6/1. 1-7. p.

Eckardt F (ed.) (2005). Paths of urban transformation. Lang. Frankfurt am Mai

- Edwards J. (1997) Urban policy: The victory of form over substance? – *Urban Studies*. 34/5-6. 825-844. p.
- Eger III Robert J. – Marlowe J. (2006) Hofferbert in transit: A dynamic stages model of the urban policy process. – *Review of Policy Research*. 23/2. 413-431. p.
- Elander I. (2002) Partnerships and urban governance. – *International Social Science Journal*. 54/172. 19-204. p.
- Elander I. – Alm E. L. – Malbert B. – Sandström U. G. (2005) Biodiversity in urban governance and planning: Examples from Swedish cities. – *Planning Theory & Practice*. 6/3. 283-301. p.
- Elcock H (2003) Networks, centres and peripheries: strategic planning in a European state. – *Regional and Federal Studies*. 13/3. 44-65. p.
- Elias N. – Scotson J.L. (1994) *The established and the outsiders: A sociological enquiry into community problems*. Sage. London
- Entwistle T. – Bristow G – Hines F. – Donaldson S. – Martin (2007) The disfunctions of markets, hierarchies and networks in the meta-governance of partnership. – *Urban Studies*. 44/1. 63-79. p.
- Enyedi Gy. Rechnitzer J. (2003) Marginalization in the Hungarian urban network In. Leimgruber W. – Majoral R. - Lee C.W. (eds) *Policies and strategies in marginal regions: Summary and evaluations*. Ashgate. Aldershot. 187-197. p.
- Eskelinen H. – Fritsch M. (2009) Polycentricity in the North-eastern periphery of the EU territory. – *European Planning Studies*. 17/4. 605-619. p.
- Esparcia J. – Buciega A. (eds.) (2005) *New rural - urban relationships in Europe: A comparative analysis: Experiences from The Netherlands, Spain, Hungary, Finland and France*. Universitat de Valencia. Valencia
- Etherington D. – Jones M. (2009) City-regions: New geographies of uneven development and inequality. – *Regional Studies*. 43/2. 247–265. p.
- Evans G. (2009) Creative cities, creative spaces and urban policy. – *Urban Studies*. 46/5-6. 1003-1040. p.
- Ewen S. – Hebbert M. (2007) European cities in a networked world during the long 20th century. – *Environment and Planning. C: Government and Policy*. 25/3. 327-341. p.
- Fabbro S. – Mesoletta A. (2010) Multilevel spatial visions and territorial cohesion: Italian regional planning between the TEN-T corridors, ESDP polycentrism and governmental 'Strategic Platforms'. – *Planning Practice & Research*. 25/1. 25-48. p.

- Fainstein N. – Fainstein S. (1996) Urban regimes and black citizens: The economic and social impacts of black political incorporation in US cities. – *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. 20/1. 22-37. p.
- Faludi A. (2004) The European Spatial Development Perspective and North-west Europe: Application and the future. – *European Planning Studies*. 12/3. 391-408. p.
- Fan C. S. – Stark O. (2008) Rural-to-urban migration, human capital, and agglomeration. – *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*. 68/1. 234-247. p.
- Fasenfest D. – Ciancanelli P. – Reese L. A. (1997) Value, exchange and the social economy: Framework and paradigm shift in urban policy. – *International Journal of Urban & Regional Research*. 21/1. 7-22. p.
- Feiock R. (ed.) (2004) *Metropolitan governance: Conflict, competition and cooperation*. Georgetown University Press. Washington D. C.
- Finka M. (2009) Sustainable territorial development and concepts of polycentricity in Slovak territorial development. – *Urban Research & Practice*. 2/3. 332-343. p.
- Foster C. D. – Smith J. F. (1969) Allocation of central government budgets over city regions. – *Urban Studies*. 6/2. 210-226. p.
- Franz P. (2000) Suburbanization and the clash of urban regimes: Developmental problems of East German cities in a free market environment. – *European Urban and Regional Studies*. 7/2. 135-146. p.
- Freire M. – Stren R. (2001) *The challenge of urban government: policies and practices*. World Bank Institute. Washington
- Fujita M. – Thisse J. F. (2002) *Economics of agglomeration: Cities, industrial location, and regional growth*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge
- Fujita M. – Thisse J.F. (1996) *Economics of agglomeration*. Centre for Economic Policy Research. London
- Fuller C. (2010) Crisis and institutional change in urban governance. – *Environment & Planning A*. 42/5. 1121-1137. p.
- Fyfe N. R. (1996) Contested visions of a modern city: Planning and poetry in... – *Environment & Planning A*. 28/3. 387-403. p.
- Gahin T. – Veleva V. – Hart M. (2003) Do indicators help create sustainable communities? – *Local Environment*. 8/6. 661-666. p.

- García M. (2006) Citizenship practices and urban governance in European cities. – *Urban Studies*. 43/4. 745-765. p.
- Gardner J. W. (1969) The urban coalition. – *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 71/3. 647-652. p.
- Geppert A. (2009) Polycentricity: can we make it happen? From a concept to its implementation. – *Urban Research & Practice*. 2/3. 251-268. p.
- Gerometta J. – Häussermann H. – Longo G. (2005) Social innovation and civil society in urban governance: Strategies for an inclusive city. – *Urban Studies*. 42/11. 2007-2021. p.
- Giersig N. (2008) Multilevel urban governance and the “European City”? discussing metropolitan reforms in Stockholm and Helsinki. VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften. Wiesbaden
- Gissendanner S. (2003) Methodology problems in urban governance studies. – *Environment & Planning C*. 21/5. 663-685. p.
- Glaeser E. L. (2010) *Agglomeration economics*. The University of Chicago Press. Chicago-London.
- Glaeser E. L. (2008) *Cities, agglomeration, and spatial equilibrium*. Oxford University Press. Oxford
- Gløersen E. – Lähteenmäki-Smith K. - Dubois A. (2007) Polycentricity in transnational planning initiatives: ESDP applied or ESDP reinvented? – *Planning Practice & Research*. 22/3. 417-437. p.
- Goodman J. S. (1975) *The dynamics of urban government and politics*. Macmillan. New York
- Gordon D. R. (1973) *City limits: barrier to change in urban government*. Charterhouse
- Gordon I. – McCann P. (2000) Industrial clusters: Complexes, agglomeration and/or social networks? – *Urban Studies*. 37/3. 513–532. p.
- Gotham K. F. (ed.) (2001) *Critical perspectives on urban redevelopment*. JAI. Amsterdam
- Gottlieb P. D. (2006) 'Running down the up escalator': a revisionist perspective on decentralisation and deconcentration in the United States, 1970-2000. – *International Regional Science Review*. 29/2. 135–158. p.
- Gourevitch P. A. (1980) *Paris and the provinces: The politics of local government reform in France*. Allen & Unwin. London
- Graham K. (1978) *Organizational change in urban local governments: A perspective*. Institute of Local Government, Queen's University. Kingston

Great Britain. Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions (2000) European spatial planning and urban-rural relationships: The UK dimension: Final report. Great Britain. Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions

Green N. (2007) Functional polycentricity: A formal definition in terms of social network analysis. – *Urban Studies*. 44/11. 2077-2103. p.

Groth N.B. (2000) Urban systems between policy and geography. – *Regional Studies*. 34/6. 571-580. p.

Guhathakurta S. – Stimson R. (2007) What is driving the growth of new “sunbelt” metropolises? Quality of life and urban regimes in Greater Phoenix and Brisbane-South East Queensland. – *International Planning Studies*. 12/2. 129-152. p.

Guimaraes P. – Figueiredo O. – Woodward D (2009) Dartboard tests for the location quotient. – *Regional Science and Urban Economics*. 39/3. 360–364. p.

Gustafsson G. (1980) Local government reform in Sweden. Gleerup. Lund

Gustavson E. – Elander I. – Lundmark M. (2009) Multilevel governance, networking cities, and the geography of climate-change mitigation: two Swedish examples. – *Environment and Planning. C: Government and Policy*. 27/1. 59-74. p.

Gutry-Korycka M. (2005) Urban sprawl: Warsaw agglomeration: Case study. Warsaw University Press. Warsaw

Hackworth J. (2000) State devolution, urban regimes, and the production of geographic scale: The case of New Brunswick, New Jersey. – *Urban Geography*. 21/5. 450-458. p.

Hadjimichalis C. – Hudson R. (2006) Networks, regional development and democratic control. – *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. 30/4. 858-872. p.

Häikiö L. (2007) Expertise, representation and the common good: Grounds for legitimacy in the urban governance network. – *Urban Studies*. 44/11. 2147-2162. p.

Häkli J. – Minca C. (eds.) (2009) Social capital and urban networks of trust. Ashgate. Aldershot

Hall P. (2009) Looking backward, looking forward: The city region of the mid-21st century. – *Regional Studies*. 43/6. 803– 817. p.

Hall S. – Hickman P. (2002) Neighbourhood renewal and urban policy: A comparison of new approaches in England and France. – *Regional Studies*. 36/6. 691-696. p.

Hall T. – Hubbard P. (eds.)(1998) The entrepreneurial city: Geographies of politics, regime, and representation. Wiley. Chichester

Hambleton R. (1988) Urban government under Thatcher and Reagan. University of Bristol School for Advanced Urban Studies

Hambleton R. – Hoggett P. (eds.) (1984) *The politics of decentralisation: Theory and practice of a radical local government initiative*. University of Bristol. Bristol

Hamel P. – Jouve B. (2008) *In search of a stable urban regime for Montreal: issues and challenges in metropolitan development*. – *Urban Research & Practice*. 1/1. 18-35. p.

Hampton W. (1987) *Local government and urban politics*. Longman. Harlow

Hankins K. – Martin D. (2006) *Charter schools and urban regimes in neoliberal context: Making workers and new spaces in metropolitan Atlanta*. – *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. 30/3. 528-547. p.

Harding A.– Marvin S. – Robson B. (2006) *A framework for city-regions*. Office of the Deputy Prime Minister. London

Harding A. (2007) *Taking city regions seriously? Response to debate on ‘city-regions: New geographies of governance, democracy and social reproduction’*. – *International Journal of Urban & Regional Research*. 31/2. 443-458. p.

Harper J. C. – Georghiou L. (2005) *Foresight in innovation policy: Shared visions for a science park and business-university links in a city region*. – *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*. 17/2. 147-160. p.

Harrison J. (2007) *From competitive regions to competitive city-regions: a new orthodoxy, but some old mistakes*. – *Journal of Economic Geography*. 7/3. 311-332. p.

Haus M. – Heinelt H. – Stewart M. (eds.) (2005) *Urban governance and democracy: Leadership and community involvement*. Routledge. London

Haylet C. (2003) *Culture, class and urban policy: reconsidering equality*. – *Antipode*. 35/1. 55-73.p .

Healey P. (2004) *Creativity and urban governance*. – *Policy Studies*. 25/2. 87-102. p.

Healey P. (2007) *Urban complexity and spatial strategies: Towards a relational planning for our times*. Routledge. London

Heeg S. – Klagge B. – Ossenbrügge J. (2003) *Metropolitan cooperation in Europe: Theoretical issues and perspectives for urban networking*. – *European Planning Studies*. 11/2. 139-153. p.

Heinelt H. – Kübler D. (2005) *Metropolitan governance in the 21st century: Capacity, democracy and the dynamics of place*. Routledge. New York

Heinelt H. – Niederhafner S. (2008) *Cities and organized interest intermediation in the EU multi-level system*. – *European Urban and Regional Studies*. 15/2. 173-187. p.

- Heinelt H. – Sweeting D. – Getimis P. (2006) Legitimacy and urban governance: a cross-national comparative study. Routledge. London
- Henderson J. V. – Wang H. G. (2005) Aspects of the rural-urban transformation of countries. – *Journal of Economic Geography*. 5/1. 23-42. p.
- Henderson J. V. (2007) Understanding knowledge spillovers. – *Regional Science and Urban Economics*. 37/4. 497–508. p.
- Herrschel T. (2009) City regions, polycentricity and the construction of peripheralities through governance. – *Urban Research & Practice*. 2/3. 240-250. p.
- Hidle K. – Farsund A. A. – Lysgård H. K. (2009) Urban-rural flows and the meaning of borders: Functional and symbolic integration in Norwegian city-regions. – *European Urban & Regional Studies*. 16/4. 409-421. p.
- Hirvonen A. (1998) Polycentricity: The multiple scenes of law. Pluto Press. London
- Hobson P. A. – St-Hilaire F. (eds.) (1997) Urban governance and finance: A question of who does what. IRPP. Montreal
- Hoffmann-Martinot V. – Wollmann H. (eds.) (2006) State and local government reforms in France and Germany: Divergence and convergence. VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften. Wiesbaden
- Holman N. (2007) Following the signs: applying urban regime analysis to a UK case study. – *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 29/55. 435-453. p.
- Hutton T. A (2000) Reconstructed production landscapes in the postmodern city: applied design and creative services in the metropolitan core. – *Urban Geography*. 21/4. 285–317. p.
- Ibert O. (2007) Towards a geography of knowledge creation: The ambivalences between “knowledge as an object” and “knowing in practice”. – *Regional Studies*. 41/1. 103-114 p.
- Ihlanfeldt K. R. (2004) Exclusionary land-use regulations within suburban communities: A review of the evidence and policy prescriptions. – *Urban Studies*. 41/2. 261–283. p.
- Imbroscio D. L. (2003) Overcoming the neglect of economics in urban regime theory. – *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 25/3. 271-284. p.
- Imbroscio[a] D. L. (1998) Reformulating urban regime theory: The division of labor between state and market reconsidered. – *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 20/3. 233-248. p.
- Imbroscio[a] D. L. (1998) The necessity of urban regime change: A reply to Clarence N. Stone. – *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 20/3. 261-268. p.

Imrie R. (1996) Transforming the social relations of research production in urban policy evaluation. – *Environment & Planning A*. 28/8. 1445-1464. p.

Ivanova V. (2003) Policy networks, local government reform and the process of democratic transition: [electronic resource] A case study of the Association of Ukrainian Cities. University of Southampton. Southampton

Jackson J. E. (ed.) (1975) Public needs and private behavior in metropolitan areas. Ballinger. Cambridge

Jacquier C. (2005) On relationships between integrated policies for sustainable urban development and urban governance. – *Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie (Journal of Economic & Social Geography)*. 96/4. 363-376. p.

Jenkins D. G. (1998) The richness of cities: Working paper 4. Partnership & power: leadership & accountability in urban governance. Comedia in association with Demos. London

Jessop B. (2002) Liberalism, neoliberalism, and urban governance: A state-theoretical perspective. – *Antipode*. 34/3. 452-472. p.

Johansson B. – Quigley J.M. (2004) Agglomeration and networks in spatial economies. – *Papers in Regional Science*. 83/1. 165-176. p.

John P. – Cole A. (1998) Urban regimes and local governance in Britain and France. – *Urban Affairs Review*. 33/3. 382-404. p.

Jones A. (2006) Ideopolis: Knowledge city-regions: Final report. Work Foundation. London

Jørgensen G. – aerø T. (2008) Urban policy in the nordic countries - National Foci and Strategies for Implementation. – *European Planning Studies*. 16/1. 23-41. p.

Jutila S. T. (1970) Linear model for agglomeration, diffusion and growth of regional economic activity. College of Business Administration the University of Toledo

Kantor P. – Savitch H. – Haddock S. (1997) The political economy of urban regimes. – *Urban Affairs Review*. 32/3. 348-377. p.

Kantor S. – Whalley A. (2009) Do universities generate agglomeration spillovers?: evidence from endowment value shocks. National Bureau of Economic Research. Cambridge

- Karlsson C. – Johansson B. – Stough R. (eds.) (2009) *Innovation, agglomeration and regional competition*. Edward Elgar. Cheltenham
- Karsten L. (2003) Family gentrifiers: challenging the city as a place simultaneously to build a career and to raise children. – *Urban Studies*. 40/12. 2573-2584. p.
- Kearns A. – Forrest R. (2000) Social cohesion and multilevel urban governance. – *Urban Studies*. 37/5-6. 995-1017. p.
- Kearns A. – Paddison R. (2000) New challenges for urban governance: Introduction to review issue. – *Urban Studies*. 37/5-6. 845-850. p.
- Keil A. (2006) New urban governance processes on the level of neighbourhoods. – *European Planning Studies*. 14/3. 335-364. p.
- Keiner M. – Kim A. (2007) Transnational city networks for sustainability. – *European Planning Studies*. 15/10. 1370-1395. p.
- Kellbach M. C. (2000) *Spatial knowledge spillovers and the dynamics of agglomerations and regional growth*. Springer Verlag
- Kilburn H W. (2004) Explaining U. S. urban regimes. – *Urban Affairs Review*. 39/5. 633-651. p.
- Kloosterman R. C. – Lambregts B. (2007) Between accumulation and concentration of capital: toward a framework for comparing long-term trajectories of urban systems. – *Urban Geography*. 28/1. 54-73. p.
- Knapp W. – Schmitt P. (2008) Discourse on 'metropolitan driving forces' and 'uneven development': Germany and the Rhine-Ruhr conurbation. – *Regional Studies*. 42/8. 1187-1204. p.
- Koo J. (2005) Agglomeration and spillovers in a simultaneous framework. – *Annals of Regional Science*. 39/1. 35-47. p.
- Kokx A. (2010) *Between dreams and reality: urban governance in the process of Dutch urban restructuring*. Koninklijk Nederlands Aardrijkskundig Genootschap. Utrecht
- Krätke S. (2001) Strengthening the polycentric urban system in Europe: Conclusions from ESDP. – *European Planning Studies*. 9/1. 104-116. p.
- Krätke S. (2007) Metropolisation of European economic territory as a consequence of increasing specialisation of urban agglomerations in the knowledge economy. – *European Planning Studies*. 15/1. 2-27. p.
- Krueger R. – Savage L. (2007) City-regions and social reproduction: A 'place' for sustainable development? – *International Journal of Urban & Regional Research*. 31/1. 215-223. p.

Krugman P.R. (1994) *Fluctuations, instability, and agglomeration*. National Bureau of Economic Research. Cambridge

Krupka D.J. (2007) Are big cities more segregated? Neighbourhood scale and the measurement of segregation. – *Urban Studies*. 44/1. 187-197. p.

Laan van der L. (1998) *Changing urban systems: An empirical analysis at two spatial levels*. – *Regional Studies*. 32/3. 235–247. p.

Lafferty W. (ed.) (2001) *Sustainable communities in Europe*. Earthscan Publications. Sterling

Lauria M.(ed.) (1996) *Reconstructing Urban Regime Theory*. Sage. London

Laurila H. (2004) Urban governance, competition and welfare. – *Urban Studies*. 41/3. 683-696. p.

Lawrence E. – Stoker R. – Wolman H. (2010) *Crafting urban policy: The conditions of public support for urban policy initiatives*. – *Urban Affairs Review*. 45/3. 412-430. p.

Lawson J. (2010) Path dependency and emergent relations: Explaining the different role limited profit housing in the dynamic urban regimes of Vienna and Zurich. – *Housing, Theory & Society*. 27/3. 204-220. p.

Le Gales P. (1998) *Urban governance in Europe: how does globalization matter?* European University Institute. Florence

Le Galés P. (2002) *European cities : Social conflicts and governance*. Oxford University Press.

Lees L. (2003) Policy (re)turns: gentrification research and urban policy - urban policy and gentrification research. – *Environment & Planning A*. 35/4. 571-574. p.

Lefèvre Ch. (1998) Metropolitan government and governance in Western Countries: A critical review. – *International Journal of Urban & Regional Research*. 22/1. 9-25. p.

Leibovitz J. (2003) Institutional barriers to associative city-region governance: The politics of institutionbuilding and economic governance in 'Canada's technology triangle'. – *Urban Studies*. 40/13. 2613-2642. p.

Le Gales P. (1998) *Territorial politics in Europe – a zero-sum game? : Urban governance in Europe: How does globalisation matter?* European University Institute. San Domenico

Leitner H. – Sheppard E. (2002) "The city is dead, long live the Net": Harnessing European interurban networks for a neoliberal agenda. – *Antipode*. 34/3. 495-540. p.

- Leo C. (2003) Are there urban regimes in Canada? Comment on: Timothy Cobban's "The political revitalization in London, Ontario, 1992-2002". – *Canadian Journal of Urban Research*. 12/2. 344-348. p.
- Levett R. (1999) The richness of cities: Working paper 12. Towards the ecopolis: sustainable development and urban governance / urban policy in a new landscape. Comedia in association with Demos. London
- Lidström A. (2006) Commuting and citizen participation in Swedish City-Regions. – *Political Studies*. 54/4. 865-888. p.
- Lidström A. (2010) Citizens' intermunicipal political orientations: Evidence from Swedish city-regions. – *Urban Studies*. 47/10. 2093-2109. p.
- Lim, U. (2004) Knowledge Spillovers, Agglomeration Economies, and the Geography of Innovative Activity: A Spatial Econometric Analysis. – *Review of Regional Studies*. 34/1. 11-36. p.
- Lindstrom M. J.- Bartling H. (2003) *Suburban sprawl : Culture, theory, and politics*. Rowman and Littlefield. Lanham
- Lindström A. (2010) Citizen's intermunicipal political orientations: Evidence from Swedish city-regions. – *Urban Studies*. 47/10. 2093-2109. p.
- Lloyd G. – Peel D. (2008) Functionalism and representationalism in contemporary urban agendas: A Scottish perspective on city-region branding. – *Urban Research & Practice*. 1/1. 36-53. p.
- Local government reform in Poland: Report of visit of British local government experts to give training seminars to councillors in Lodz, Krakow and Warsaw 29 September 1990. (1990) Department of the Environment
- Longan W. M. (2002) Building a global sense of place: the community networking movement in the United States. – *Urban Geography*. 23/3. 213-226. p.
- Lord A. (2009) Mind the gap. The theory and practice of state rescaling: Institutional morphology and the 'new' city-regionalism. – *Space and Polity*. 13/2. 77-92. p.
- Lüthi S. – Thierstein A. – Goebel V. (2010) Intra-firm and extra-firm linkages in the knowledge economy: The case of the emerging mega-city region of Munich. – *Global Networks*. 10/1. 114-137. p.
- McCandless C. (1970) *Urban government and politics*. McGraw
- Madanipour A. – Cars G. – Allen J. (eds.) (2000) *Social exclusion in European cities : Processes, experiences, and responses*. Regional Studies Association. London.

- Maginn P. J. (2006) Urban policy analysis through a qualitative lens: Overview to special issue. – *Urban Policy & Research*. 24/1. 1-15. p.
- Maloney W. – Smith G. – Stoker G. (2000) Social capital and urban governance: Adding a more contextualized 'top-down' perspective. – *Political Studies*. 48/4. 802-820. p.
- Maloutas T. – Malouta M.P. (2004) The glass menagerie of urban governance and social cohesion: concepts and stakes/concepts as stakes. - *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. 28/2. 449-465. p.
- Mareggi M. (2002) Innovation in urban policy: The experience of Italian urban time policies. – *Planning Theory & Practice*. 3/2. 173-194. p.
- Marks G. (1996) An actor-centred approach to multilevel governance. – *Regional and Federal Studies*. 6/2. 20-38. p.
- Markusen A. (2003) Fuzzy concepts, scanty evidence, policy distance: the case for rigour and policy relevance in critical regional studies. – *Regional Studies*. 37/ 6-7. 701–717. p.
- Marshall A. (2003) EU regional policy and urban governance: [electronic resource], assessing reform and renewal in Britain and Ireland. University of Cambridge. Cambridge /British Library/
- Marshall G. R. (2009) Polycentricity, reciprocity, and farmer adoption of conservation practices under community-based governance. – *Ecological Economics*. 68/5. 1507-1520. p.
- Martins L. – Álvarez J.M.R. (2007) Towards glocal leadership: taking up the challenge of new local governance in Europe? – *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*. 25/3. 391-410. p.
- Masahisa F. (2002) *Economics of agglomeration: Cities, industrial location, and regional growth*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge
- McAllister R. (1980) *Local government: Death or devolution?* Outer Circle Policy Unit. London
- McCan P. (1995) Rethinking the economics of location and agglomeration. – *Urban Studies*. 32/3. 563 -577. p.
- McGinnis M. (ed.) (1999) *Polycentricity and local public economies: Readings from the Workshop in Political Theory and Policy Analysis*. University of Michigan Press. Ann Arbor
- McGuirk P. M. (2000) Power and policy networks in urban governance: local government and property-led regeneration in Dublin. – *Urban Studies*. 37/4. 651–672. p.
- McMillen D. P. (2003) Identifying sub-centres using contiguity matrices. – *Urban Studies*. 40/1. 57-69. p.

- Meijers E. (2005) Polycentric urban regions and the quest for synergy: Is a network of cities more than the sum of the parts? – *Urban Studies*. 42/4. 765-781. p.
- Meijers E. (2007) *Synergy in polycentric urban regions: Complementarity, organising capacity and critical mass*. Delft University Press. Delft
- Meijers E. (2008) Measuring polycentricity and its promises. – *European Planning Studies*. 16/9. 1313-1323. p.
- Meijers E. (2008) Summing small cities does not make a large city: Polycentric urban regions and the provision of cultural, leisure and sports amenities. – *Urban Studies*. 45/11. 2323-2342. p.
- Meijers E. – Romein A. – Hoppenbrouwer E. (2003) *Planning polycentric urban regions in North West Europe: Value, feasibility and design*. DUP Science. Delft
- Mercer J. – Barnett J. R. (1975) Spatial modifications to models of the urban policy process. – *Policy Studies Journal*. 3/4. 320-325. p.
- Meyer D. R. (2003) The challenges of research on the global network of cities. – *Urban Geography*. 24/4. 301-313. p.
- Mezei I. (2009) *The development of the urban network in Slovakia*. Discussion Paper. MTA RKK. Pécs
- Midgley J. – Hodge I. – Monk S. (2003) Patterns and concentrations of disadvantage in England: a rural urban perspective. – *Urban Studies*. 40/8. 1427-1454. p.
- Midwinter A. F. – Carmichael P. (1994) *Organisational fragmentation and democratic decline? : The reconstruction of metropolitan governance in the United Kingdom*. Department of Public Administration and Legal Studies, University of Ulster. Jordanstown
- Miller F. P. – Vandome A. F. – McBrewster J. (2010) *Agglomeration*. Alphascript Publishing
- Minnery J. (2007) Stars and their supporting cast: State, market and community as actors in urban governance. – *Urban Policy & Research*. 25/3. 325-345. p.
- Misener L. – Mason D. (2009) Fostering community development through sporting events strategies: An examination of urban regime perceptions. – *Journal of Sport Management*. 23/6. 770-794. p.
- Misener L. – Mason D. (2008) Urban regimes and the sporting events agenda: A cross-national comparison of civic development strategies. – *Journal of Sport Management*. 22/5. 603-627. p.

- Mitchell-Weaver C. – Miller D. – Deal R. (2000) Multilevel governance and metropolitan regionalism in the USA. – *Urban Studies*. 37/5-6. 851-876. p.
- Morgan K. (2007) The polycentric state: new spaces of empowerment and engagement? – *Regional Studies*. 41/9. 1237-1251. p.
- Morris R. J. – Trainor R. (eds.) (2000) *Urban governance: Britain and beyond since 1750*. Ashgate. Aldershot
- Mossberger K. – Stoker G. (2001) The evolution of urban regime theory: The challenge of conceptualization. – *Urban Affairs Review*. 36/6. 810-835. p.
- Moulaert F. – Martinelli F. – González S. – Swyngedouw E. (2007) Social innovation and governance in European cities: Urban development between path dependency and radical innovation. – *European Urban and Regional Studies*. 14/3. 195-209. p.
- Moulaert F. – Martinelli F. – Swyngedouw E. – González S. (2005) Towards alternative model(s) of local innovation. – *Urban Studies*. 42/11. 1969-1990. p.
- Muñiz I. – Garcia-López M. (2010) The polycentric knowledge economy in Barcelona. – *Urban Geography*. 31/6. 774-799. p.
- Murtagh B. (1998) Evaluating the community impacts of urban policy. – *Planning Practice & Research*. 13/2. 129-138. p.
- Musterd S. – van Zelm I. (2001) Polycentricity, households and the identity of places. – *Urban Studies*. 38/4. 679-696. p.
- Nadine C. (ed.) (2007) *Cities and networks in Europe : A critical approach of polycentrism*. John Libbey Eurotext. Montrouge.
- Neil B. (2004) *New state spaces : urban governance and the rescaling of statehood*. Oxford University Press. Oxford, New York
- Nelson A. C. – Foster K. A. (1999) Metropolitan governance structure and income growth. – *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 21/3. 309-324. p.
- Neuman M. – Hull A. (2009) The futures of the city region. – *Regional Studies*. 43/6. 777–787. p.
- Newman P. – Verpraet G. (1999) The impacts of partnership on urban governance: Conclusions from recent European research. – *Regional Studies*. 33/5. 487–491. p.
- Nicholls W. J. (2005) Power and governance: Metropolitan governance in France. – *Urban Studies*. 42/4. 783-800. p.
- Nijkamp P. (1978) *A spatial complex analysis of agglomeration and settlement patterns*. International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis

- Nivalainen S. – Schmidt-Thomé K. (2003) Urban-rural relations in Finland: demographic development and the emerging discourse on urban-rural interaction. – *Geographia Polonica*. 76/1. 127-145. p.
- Nocco A. (2005) The rise and fall of regional inequalities with technological differences and knowledge spillovers. – *Regional Science and Urban Economics*. 35/5. 542–569. p.
- Norris D. (2001) Whither metropolitan governance? – *Urban Affairs Review*. 36/4. 532-550. p.
- Norton R. D. (1992) Agglomeration and competitiveness: From Marshall to Chinitz. – *Urban Studies*. 29/2. 155-170. p.
- OECD (2005) Local governance and the drivers of growth. OECD. Paris
- O'Hagan S. – Green M. B. (2002) Interlocking directorates: an example of tacit knowledge transfer – *Urban Geography*. 23/2. 154-179. p.
- Ottaviano G. - Puga D. (1997) Agglomeration in the global economy : A survey of the “new economic geography”. Centre for Economic Performance (London School of Economics). London
- Ottaviano G. – Thisse J.F. (1999) Integration, agglomeration and the political economics of factor mobility. European University Institute. Florence
- Pain K. – Hall P. (2008) Informational quantity versus informational quality: The perils of navigating the space of flows – *Regional Studies*. 42/8. 1065-1077. p.
- Parr J. (2005) Perspectives on the city-region. – *Regional Studies*. 39/5. 555–566. p.
- Parr J.B. (2008) Cities and regions: problems and potentials. – *Environment and Planning A*. 40/12. 3009-3026. p.
- Partridge M. D. - Rickman D. S. (2008) Distance. – *Journal of Regional Science*. 48/2. 285-310. p.
- Pastor M. – Dreier P – Grigsby J.E. – López-Garza M. (2000) Regions that work : how cities and suburbs can grow together. University of Minnesota Press. Minneapolis
- Petersen H. – Zahle H. (1995) Legal polycentricity: Consequences of pluralism in law. Dartmouth. Aldershot
- Petrakos G. C. (1992) Urban concentration and agglomeration economies: Reexamining the relationship. – *Urban Studies*. 29/8. 1219-1229. p.
- Pflüger M. (2004) A simple, analytically solvable, Chamberlinian agglomeration model. – *Regional Science and Urban Economics*. 34/4. 565–573. p.

Phares D. (ed.) (2004) Metropolitan governance without metropolitan government? Ashgate. Aldershot

Phelps N. A. (2001) Urban governance at the edge of Europe's capital cities. Economic and Social Research Council

Pierre J. (1998) Partnerships in urban governance: European and American experience. Macmillan Press. London

Pierre J. (1999) Models of urban governance. – Urban Affairs Review. 34/3. 372-396. p.

Poppelaars C. (2007) Resource exchange in urban governance on the means that matter. – Urban Affairs Review. 43/1. 3-27. p.

Post S. S. – Stein R. M. (2000) State economies, metropolitan governance, and urban-suburban economic development. – Urban Affairs Review. 36/1. 46-60. p.

Proudfoot J. – McCann E.J. (2008) At street level: Bureaucratic practice in the management of urban neighborhood change. – Urban Geography. 29/4. 348-370. p.

Puga D. – Venables A. (1997) Agglomeration and economic development : import substitution vs. trade liberalisation. Centre for Economic Performance (London School of Economics). London

Puga D. (2010) The magnitude and causes of agglomeration economies. – Journal of Regional Science. 50/1. 203-219. p.

Punter L. (1981) The inner city and local government in Western Europe: A review of some of the administrative structures and systems adopted for the renewal of inner urban areas in West Germany, Italy, France and England. College of Estate Management

Purcell M. (1997) Ruling Los Angeles: Neighborhood movements, urban regimes, and the production of space in Southern California. – Urban Geography. 18/8. 687-704. p.

Raco M. (2007) Securing sustainable communities: Citizenship, safety and sustainability in the new urban planning. – European Urban & Regional Studies. 14/4. 305-320. p.

Raco M. – Imrie R. (2000) Governmentality and rights and responsibilities in urban policy. – Environment & Planning A. 32/12. 2187-2204. p.

Rakodi C. (2001) Urban governance and poverty - addressing needs, asserting claims: An editorial introduction. – International Planning Studies. 6/4. 343-356. p.

Rappaport J. (2008) Consumption amenities and city population density. – *Regional Science and Urban Economics*. 38/6. 533–552. p.

Ravetz J. (2000) *City-region 2020: Integrated planning for a sustainable environment*. Earthscan. London

Ravetz J. (2001) *Integrated assessment and economic analysis of sustainable development in the city-region: Case study on Greater Manchester*. Economic and Social Research Council

Read J. R. S. (1967) City-regions: An examination of the way in which city-regions have developed between 1951-1961 using the criterion of daly work journeys. [S. 1.] J. R. S. Read

Rechnitzer J. – Grosz A. – Csizmadia Z. (2004) The Hungarian urban network's structure based on the information and communication infrastructure at the turn of the millennium. In: Enyedi Gy. – Tózsá I. (eds.) *The region: regional development, policy, administration, E-government*. Akadémiai. Budapest

Rhomberg C. (1995) Collective actors and urban regimes: Class formation and the 1949 Oakland general strike. – *Theory & Society*. 24/4. 567-594. p.

Richards P. G. (1965) Local government reform: smaller towns and the countryside. – *Urban Studies*. 2/2. 147-162. p.

Richardson H. (1983) The relevance of urban economic theory to urban policy analysis. – *Policy Studies Review*. 3/1. 45-51. p.

Riguelle F. – Thomas I. – Verhetsel A. (2007) Measuring urban polycentrism: a European case study and its implications. – *Journal of Economic Geography*. 7/2. 193-214. p.

Rodríguez-Pose A. (2008) The rise of the “city-region” concept and its development policy implications. – *European Planning Studies*. 16/8. 1025-1046. p.

Roos M.W.M. (2004) Agglomeration and the public sector. – *Regional Science and Urban Economics*. 34/4. 411–427. p.

Royuela V. – Moreno R. – Vayá E. (2010) Influence of quality of life on urban growth: A Case study of Barcelona, Spain. – *Regional Studies*. 44/5. 551–567. p.

Rumford C. (2004) The organisation of European space: regions, networks and places. – *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. 28/1. 225-229. p.

Runde D. (2005) Making a world of difference through development alliances. – *Public Manager*. 34/4. 38-41. p.

Salet W. (2006) Rescaling territorial governance in the Randstad Holland: The responsiveness of spatial and institutional strategies to socio-economic interactions – *European Planning Studies*. 14/7. 959– 977. p.

Salet W. – Thornley A. – Kreukels A. (2003) *Metropolitan governance and spatial planning: Comparative case studies of European city-regions*. Spon Press. London

Sancton A. (2008) *The limits of boundaries: Why city-regions cannot be self-governing*. McGill-Queen's University Press. Montreal

Scargill I. (1998) New impetus for France's urban policy. – *Geography*. 83/359. 139-146. p.

Scholte J. A. (2004) *Globalization and governance: From statism to polycentrism*. University of Warwick Centre for the Study of Globalisation and Regionalisation. Coventry

Schultze C.J. (2003) Cities and EU governance: policy-takers or policy-makers ? – *Regional and Federal Studies*. 13/1. 121-147. p.

Scott A. J. (ed.) (2001) *Global city-regions: Trends, theory, policy*. Oxford University Press. Oxford

Scott A. J. (2001) Globalization and the rise of city-regions. – *European Planning Studies*. 9/7. 813-826. p.

Scott A. J. (2003) Regions, globalization, development. – *Regional Studies*. 37/6-7. 579-593. p.

Sedgley N. - Elmslie B (2004) The geographic concentration of knowledge: scale, agglomeration and congestion in innovation across U.S. states. – *International Regional Science Review*. 27/2. 111– 137. p.

Sellers J. M. (2002) Federalism and metropolitan governance in cross-national perspective: the case of urban sprawl. – *Environment & Planning C: Government & Policy*. 20/1. 95-112. p.

Sellers J.M. (2002) *Governing from below : urban regions and the global*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge.

Shaw D. – Sykes O. (2004) The concept of polycentricity in European spatial planning: reflections on its interpretation and application in the practice of spatial planning. – *International Planning Studies*. 9/4. 283-306. p.

Simmie J. - Sennett P. – Wood P. – Hart D (2002). *Innovation in Europe: a tale of networks, knowledge and trade in five cities*. – *Regional Studies*. 36/1. 47-64. p.

Simmie J. (2005) Innovation and space: a critical review of the literature. – *Regional Studies*. 39/6. 789–804. p.

Simmie J. (szerk.) (2001) *Innovative cities*. Spon Press, London

Sites W. (1997) The limits of urban regime theory. – *Urban Affairs Review*. 32/4. 536-557. p.

Smith B. C. (1994) *Models of metropolitan government: Problems in evaluating alternative scales for the government of large urban areas*. University of Dundee, Department of Political Science and Social Policy. Dundee

Smith D. P. – Butler T. (2007) Conceptualising the sociospatial diversity of gentrification: 'to boldly go' into contemporary gentrified spaces, the 'final frontier'? – *Environment and Planning, A*. 39/1. 2-9. p.

Sokol M. – Egeraat Van C. – Williams B. (2008) Revisiting the 'informational city': Space of flows, polycentricity and the geography of knowledge-intensive business services in the emerging global city-region of Dublin. – *Regional Studies*. 42/8. 1133–1146. p.

Sollé-Ollé A - Viladecans-Marsal E. (2004) Central cities as engines of metropolitan area growth. – *Journal of Regional Science*. 44/2. 321-350. p.

Somlyódyne Pfeil E. (2006) Changes in the organisational framework of cooperation within urban areas in Hungary. MTA RKK DTI, Pécs

Sotarauta M. – Kautonen M. (2007) Co-evolution of the Finnish national and local innovation and science arenas: towards a dynamic understanding of multi-level governance. – *Regional Studies*. 41/8. 1085-1098. p.

Srinivas S. – Kosonen K. – Viljamaa K. – Nummi J. (2008) Varieties of innovation and welfare regimes: the leap from R&D projects to the development of city-regions. – *European Planning Studies*. 16/9. 1267-1291. p.

Stanford M. (2006) Civic engagement in the English regions: neo-corporatism, networks, new forms of governance. – *Regional and Federal Studies*. 16/2. 221-238. p.

Stefuriuc I. (2009) Governing Europe: the status and networking strategies of Finnish, Estonian and German subnational offices in Brussels. – *Regional and Federal Studies*. 19/1. 117-142. p.

Stelder D (2005) Where do cities form? A geographical agglomeration model for Europe. – *Journal of Regional Science*. 45/4. 657-680. p.

Stephen G. (1994) *Networking cities: telematics in urban policy - a critical review*. – *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. 18/3. 416-432. p.

Stephens G. – Wikstrom N. (2000) *Metropolitan government and governance: Theoretical perspectives, empirical analysis, and the future*. Oxford University Press. New York, Oxford

- Stoker G. – Mosberger K. (1994) Urban regime theory in comparative perspective. – *Environment & Planning C: Government & Policy*. 12/2. 195-212. p.
- Stone C. N. (2004) It's more than the economy after all: Continuing the debate about urban regimes. – *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 26/1. 1-19. p.
- Stone C. N. (2006) Power, reform, and urban regime analysis. – *City & Community*. 5/1. 23-38. p.
- Storper M. – Manville M. (2006) Behaviour, preferences and cities: Urban theory and urban resurgence. – *Urban Studies*. 43/8. 1247–1274. p.
- Sunley P. – Pinch S. – Macmillen J. (2010) Growing design? Challenges and constraints facing design consultancies in three English city-regions. – *Regional Studies*. 44/7. 873–887. p.
- Swyngedouw E. – Moulaert F. – Rodriguez A. (2002) Neoliberal urbanization in Europe: Large-scale urban development projects and the new urban policy. – *Antipode*. 34/3. 542-577. p.
- Swyngedouw E. (2005) Governance innovation and the citizen: the Janus face of governance beyond the state. – *Urban Studies*. 42/11. 1191-2006. p.
- Sýkora L. – Mulíček O. - Maier K. (2009) City regions and polycentric territorial development: concepts and practice. – *Urban Research & Practice*. 2/3. 233-239. p.
- Sýkora L. – Mulíček O. (2009): The micro-regional nature of functional urban areas (FUAs): lessons from the analysis of the Czech urban and regional system. – *Urban Research & Practice*. 2/ 3. 287-307. p.
- Szirmai V. (ed.) (2007) Social inequalities in urban areas and globalization : the case of Central Europe. MTA RKK. Pécs
- Taylor P. – Evans D. M. – Pain K. (2008) Application of the Interlocking Network Model to mega-city-regions: Measuring polycentricity within and beyond city-regions. – *Regional Studies*. 42/8. 1079-1093. p.
- Tedin K. L. (1994) Self-interest, symbolic values, and the financial equalization of the public schools. – *Journal of Politics*. 56/3. 628-649. p.
- Tewdwr-Jones M. – McNeill D. (2000) The politics of city-region planning and governance: Reconciling the national, regional and urban in the competing voices of institutional restructuring. – *European Urban & Regional Studies*. 7/2. 119-134. p.

- Therkildsen H. P. - Hansen C. J. - Lorentzen A. (2009) The experience economy and the transformation of urban governance and planning. – *European Planning Studies*. 17/6. 925-941. p.
- Thierstein A. – Gabi S. (2004) When creativity meets metropolitan governance. – *DISP*. 158. 34-40. p.
- Thissen M. – Hilbers H. – Van de Coevering P. (2009) The difference between bi-regional and full networks when analysing agglomeration effects. – *Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie (Journal of Economic & Social Geography)*. 100/2. 171-182. p.
- Thompson-Fawcett M. (1998) Leon Krier and the organic revival within urban policy and practice. – *Planning Perspectives*. 13/2. 167-194. p.
- Thornton R. H. – Shattuck J. M. (2006) Workforce Development Alliances. – *Community College Journal of Research & Practice*. 30/2.165-167.p.
- Timár J. – Váradi M. M. (2001) The uneven development of suburbanization during transition in Hungary. – *European Urban and Regional Studies*. 8/4. 349–360. p.
- Tosics I. – Dukes T. (2005) Urban development programmes in the context of public administration and urban policy. – *Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie (Journal of Economic & Social Geography)*. 96/4. 390-408. p.
- Tretter E. M. (2008) Scales, regimes and the urban governance of Glasgow. – *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 30/1. 87-102. p.
- Tsekeris T. – Stathopoulos A. (2006) Gravity models for dynamic transport planning: Development and implementation in urban networks. – *Journal of Transport Geography*. 14/2. 152–160. p.
- Turok I. – Bailey N. (2004) The theory of polynuclear urban regions and its application to Central Scotland. – *European Planning Studies*. 12/3. 371-389. p.
- Turok I. (2004) Cities, regions and competitiveness. – *Regional Studies*. 38/9. 1069–1083. p.
- Turok I. (2009) Limits to the mega-city region: Conflicting local and regional needs. – *Regional Studies*. 43/6. 845-862. p.
- Tye R. (1994) *Urban regeneration and central-local government relations: The case of east Manchester*. Pergamon. Oxford
- Uitermark J. (2005) The genesis and evolution of urban policy: A confrontation of regulationist and governmentality approaches. – *Political Geography*. 24/2. 137-163. p.

- University of Oregon. Bureau of Governmental Research and Service (1974) Local government policies for urban development. [Eugene, Or] The Bureau
- van der Veer J. (1994) Metropolitan government and city-suburban cleavages: Differences between old and young... – *Urban Studies*. 31/7. 1057-1079. p.
- Van Marissing E. – Bolt G. – Van Kempen R. (2006) Urban governance and social cohesion: Effects of urban restructuring policies in two Dutch cities. – *Cities*. 23/4. 279-290. p.
- van Soest D. P. – Gerking S. - van Oort F. G.(2006) Spatial impacts of agglomeration externalities. – *Journal of Regional Science*. 46 /5. 881-899. p.
- Vanderleeuw J. – Liu B. – Marsh G. (2004) Applying black threat theory, urban regime theory, and deracialization: The memphis mayoral elections of 1991, 1995, and 1999. – *Journal of Urban Affairs*. 26/4. 505-519. p.
- Vandermotten C - Halbert L- Roelandts M.- Cornut P. (2008) European planning and the polycentric consensus: wishful thinking? – *Regional Studies*. 42/8. 1205-1207. p.
- Vanolo A. (2008) Internationalization in Helsinki Metropolitan Area: images, discourses and metaphors. – *European Planning Studies*. 16/2. 229–251. p.
- Verhoef E. – Nijkamp P. (2008) Urban environmental externalities, agglomeration forces, and the technological 'deus ex machina'. – *Environment and Planning. A*. 40/4. 928– 947. p.
- Verhoef E. T. – Nijkamp P. (2002) Externalities in urban sustainability: Environmental versus localization-type agglomeration externalities in a general spatial equilibrium model of a single-sector monocentric industrial city. – *Ecological Economics*. 40/ 2. 157-179. p.
- Verhoef E. T. – Nijkamp P. – Daniel J. (2001) Urban sustainability, agglomeration forces and the technological deus ex machine. Tinbergen Institute. Amsterdam
- Vicino T. J. – Hanlon B. – Short J. R. (2007) Megalopolis 50 years on: The transformation of a city region. – *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. 31/2. 344-367. p.
- Wallis J. – Dollery B. (2002) Styles of policy leadership and local government reform. University of Otago, Department of Economics. Dunedin
- Westaway J. (et al.) (1990) Urban-rural links. Heinemann Educational. Oxford
- Whitehand J.W.R. – Carr C.M.H (2001) Twentieth-century suburbs : A morphological approach. Routledge. London
- Wiewel W. – Schaffer K. (2001) Learning to think as a region: connecting suburban sprawl and city poverty. – *European Planning Studies*. 9/5. 593–611. p.

Wilks-Heeg S. (1996) Urban experiments limited revisited: Urban policy comes full circle? – *Urban Studies*. 33/8. 1263-1280. p.

Williams A. (1966) The optimal provision of public goods in a system of local government. – *Journal of Political Economy*. 74/1. 18-33. p.

Wójcik M. (2000) Powiat (county) local government public administration reform implementation experience in Poland in 1999: Final report of the “Supporting and monitoring of the local government reform in Poland” project. Fundacja Rozwoju Demokracji Lokalnej, Centrum Szkoleniowe w Szczecinie. Szczecin

Xiao-Ping Z. (2007) Economies of network, urban agglomeration, and regional development: A theoretical model and empirical evidence. – *Regional Studies*. 41/5. 559–569. p.

Xu J. – Yeh A. (eds.) (2010) *Governance and Planning of Mega-City Regions: An International Comparative Perspective*. Routledge

Yamamoto K. (2003) Agglomeration and growth with innovation in the intermediate goods sector. – *Regional Science and Urban Economics*. 33/3. 335–360. p.

Yarnal B. – Polsky C. – O'Brien J. (eds.) (2009) *Sustainable communities on a sustainable planet : the human-environment regional observatory project*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, New York

Yin J. – Clavel P. – Pitt J. (1997) The community option in urban policy. – *Urban Affairs Review*. 32/4. 435-458. p.

Yun S. – Sen A. (1994) Computation of maximum likelihood estimates of gravity model parameters. – *Journal of Regional Science*. 34/2. 199-216. p.

Zimmerman J. (2001) The “nature” of urbanism on the new urbanist frontier: Sustainable development, or defense of the suburban dream? – *Urban Geography*. 22/3. 249-267. p.

Zoványi G. (1986) Structural change in a system of urban places: The 20th-century evolution of Hungary's urban settlement network. – *Regional Studies*. 20/1. 47–71. p.